

Co-nondeterminism in compositions: A kernelization lower bound for a Ramsey-type problem

Stefan Kratsch

September 03, WorKer 2011, Vienna



Introduction

Ramsey(k)

Input: A graph G and an integer k .

Parameter: k .

Question: Does G contain an independent set or a clique of size at least k ?

Brought to general attention by Rod Downey at WorKer 2010 in Leiden. He asked whether the problem admits a polynomial kernel.

FPT: if $n \geq R(k, k)$ (Ramsey number) then answer YES, else use brute force ($R(k, k) < 4^k$)



Motivation

- ▶ spin-off of a classical problem
- ▶ a polynomial kernel would speed up computation of Ramsey numbers: essentially replacing brute force on c^k vertices by brute force on $\text{poly}(k)$ vertices
- ▶ seems to resist standard techniques for upper and lower bounds
- ▶ \$\$\$...



Ramsey Numbers

- ▶ $R(\ell_1, \ell_2)$: largest number of vertices among graphs G that contain no ℓ_1 -independent set or ℓ_2 -clique
- ▶ $R(\ell) := R(\ell, \ell)$
- ▶ explicit values are only known for small ℓ (essentially by brute force computation)
- ▶ $R(\ell) \sim c^\ell$ (there are exponential upper and lower bounds)



Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey(k)

Conclusion



Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey(k)

Conclusion



A simple composition for Ramsey(k)

- ▶ given t instances $(G_1, k), \dots, (G_t, k)$
- ▶ we construct (G', k') with
 - (G', k') YES iff at least one (G_i, k) is YES
 - $k' \in \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2}k)$
- ▶ thus Ramsey(k) has no $\mathcal{O}(k^{2-\epsilon})$ kernel unless PH collapses
[Dell, van Melkebeek 2010 & Hermelin, Wu 2011]



Improvement version

Improvement Ramsey(k)

Input: A graph G and an integer k . Two vertex sets I and K of size $k - 1$ each which induce an independent set and a clique in G .

Parameter: k .

Question: Does G contain an independent set or a clique of size at least k ?

We will simply continue to call it Ramsey(k). It is straightforward to reduce between the two versions.



The construction

- ▶ w.l.o.g. $t = \ell^2$
- ▶ group the t instances into ℓ groups of size ℓ each
- ▶ let G' contain copies of G_1, \dots, G_t
- ▶ add all edges between vertices of G_i and G_j in G' if they are in the same group
- ▶ let $k' = \ell(k - 1) + 1$ thus $k' \in \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2}k)$

note: adjacency between the graphs G_1, \dots, G_t can be described by a **host graph** H : a disjoint union of ℓ cliques of size ℓ each



Some observations I

- ▶ cliques in G' can use vertices from only one group, i.e., from at most ℓ graphs
- ▶ independent sets in G' can use vertices from at most one graph per group, i.e., from at most ℓ graphs
- ▶ thus a clique of size $\ell(k - 1) + 1$ must contain at least k vertices from a single G_i
- ▶ ditto for independent sets

thus if (G', k') is YES then at least one (G_i, k) is YES



Some observations II

- ▶ if some G_i contains a k -clique, then it can be extended by $k - 1$ vertices from each other graph in its group in G'
- ▶ we get a clique of size $k + (\ell - 1)(k - 1) = \ell(k - 1) + 1$
- ▶ similarly for a k -independent set in some G_i
- ▶ it is crucial here that we have the improvement version

if some (G_i, k) is YES then (G', k') is YES

We get a composition with dependence of $t^{1/2}$ on t , excluding kernels of size $\mathcal{O}(k^{2-\epsilon})$.



Why did it work...

...and how can we do better?

- ▶ in the host graph H (recall: disj. union of ℓ many ℓ -cliques):
 - there are no cliques or independent sets of size $\ell + 1$
 - each vertex is in a clique and an independent set of size ℓ
- ▶ $\ell \in \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2})$
- ▶ thus arranging and connecting the t instances according to H we get a composition with $\mathcal{O}(t^{1/2})$ dependence on t

To exclude polynomial kernels we need $\ell \in t^{o(1)}$. Unfortunately no deterministic constructions of such graphs are known. (There is work on Ramsey graphs, but they don't include the covering property.)



Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey(k)

Conclusion



Co-nondeterministic composition

Let $Q \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$.

coNP-composition for Q : co-nondeterministic algorithm C

input: t instances $(x_1, k), \dots, (x_t, k) \in \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$

time: polynomial in $\sum_{i=1}^t |x_i|$

output: on each computation path an instance (y, k')
with $k' \leq t^{o(1)} \text{poly}(k)$ such that:

1. if at least one (x_i, k) is YES then each computation path ends with the output of a YES-instance (y, k')
2. if all (x_i, k) are NO then at least one computation path ends with the output of a NO-instance

new: co-nondeterminism, $t^{o(1)}$ dependence on t



Consequence of a coNP-composition

Theorem: If $Q \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$ has a coNP-composition then it admits no polynomial kernelization unless $NP \subseteq \text{coNP}/\text{poly}$.

Proof: This follows straightforwardly from the Complementary Witness Lemma [Dell & van Melkebeek 2010].

key: coNP-kernelization & coNP-composition give oracle communication protocol with co-nondeterministic first player



Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey(k)

Conclusion



We need better host graphs

- ▶ we need a host graph H on t vertices and $\ell \in t^{o(1)}$ such that:
 - H contains no independent set and no clique of size $> \ell$
 - each vertex of H is contained in an independent set and a clique both of size ℓ
- ▶ combining t instances according to H will then give a composition
- ▶ we will use co-nondeterminism to find such graphs

note: $\alpha(H) = \ell$ cannot be verified, so we will have to cope with graphs H not fulfilling all properties



Making our lives a bit easier

- ▶ it suffices if each vertex of H is in a clique **or** an independent set of size ℓ
- ▶ by a simple transformation $G_i \mapsto G'_i$ we get

G_i has a k -clique **or** a k -independent set
 $\Leftrightarrow G'_i$ has a $2k - 1$ -clique **and** a $2k - 1$ -independent set

- ▶ it can be seen that embedding graphs G'_i in the relaxed host graph suffices



Ramsey numbers have useful gaps

Lemma: For every integer $t > 3$ there is an integer $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 8 \log t\}$ such that $R(\ell + 1) > R(\ell) + t$.

Proof (sketch): If no integer $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 8 \log t\}$ works, then $R(8 \log t)$ would be smaller than known lower bounds.

Thanks to Pascal Schweitzer for the lemma and advice regarding Ramsey numbers.



Finding a host graph

let an integer t be given

- ▶ guess smallest $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 8 \log t\}$ with $R(\ell + 1) > R(\ell) + t$
- ▶ guess T such that $T = R(\ell) + t$

there is a graph on T vertices which has no clique or independent set greater than ℓ

- ▶ guess a graph H on T vertices

next: covering at least t vertices of H by independent sets and cliques



Partially covering H

assume that we have a graph H with $R(\ell) + t$ vertices

- ▶ among any $R(\ell)$ vertices of H there must be an independent set or a clique of size ℓ
- ▶ thus there must be a set of (at most t) cliques and independent sets that covers at least t vertices of H
- ▶ such a cover can be guessed and verified; on a failure return YES
- ▶ let H' be a subgraph of H on at least t vertices, such that all vertices of H' are covered
- ▶ use H' as a host graph and return the obtained instance (G', k')



Wrap-Up / Proof sketch

given t instances $(G_1, k), \dots, (G_t, k)$ of (improvement) Ramsey(k)

- ▶ transform to simpler instances $(G'_1, 2k - 1), \dots, (G'_t, 2k - 1)$ for which relaxed host graph suffices
- ▶ co-nondeterministically search for a host graph H'
- ▶ each computation path returns YES or an instance (G', k')
- ▶ in the latter case the used host graph H' is always covered
- ▶ there is at least one c-path where H' has no clique or independent set of size $> \ell \in \mathcal{O}(\log t)$

from these facts, we easily get the following:

Theorem: Ramsey(k) has a coNP-composition and hence does not admit a polynomial kernel unless $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP}/\text{poly}$.



Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey(k)

Conclusion



Conclusion

- ▶ Ramsey(k) does not admit a polynomial kernel unless $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP}/\text{poly}$
- ▶ Ramsey numbers are the key to both FPT and kernel lower bound for Ramsey(k)
- ▶ co-nondeterministic compositions may help for other problems with open existence of polynomial kernels
- ▶ is there more to be gained from the $t^{o(1)}$ dependence on t or is $\log t$ all we ever need?



Thank you

