

# Co-nondeterminism in compositions: A kernelization lower bound for a Ramsey-type problem

Stefan Kratsch

September 03, WorKer 2011, Vienna



# Introduction

## Ramsey(k)

**Input:** A graph  $G$  and an integer  $k$ .

**Parameter:**  $k$ .

**Question:** Does  $G$  contain an independent set or a clique of size at least  $k$ ?

Brought to general attention by Rod Downey at WorKer 2010 in Leiden. He asked whether the problem admits a polynomial kernel.

**FPT:** if  $n \geq R(k, k)$  (Ramsey number) then answer YES, else use brute force ( $R(k, k) < 4^k$ )



# Motivation

- ▶ spin-off of a classical problem
- ▶ a polynomial kernel would speed up computation of Ramsey numbers: essentially replacing brute force on  $c^k$  vertices by brute force on  $\text{poly}(k)$  vertices
- ▶ seems to resist standard techniques for upper and lower bounds
- ▶ \$\$\$...



# Ramsey Numbers

- ▶  $R(\ell_1, \ell_2)$ : largest number of vertices among graphs  $G$  that contain no  $\ell_1$ -independent set or  $\ell_2$ -clique
- ▶  $R(\ell) := R(\ell, \ell)$
- ▶ explicit values are only known for small  $\ell$  (essentially by brute force computation)
- ▶  $R(\ell) \sim c^\ell$  (there are exponential upper and lower bounds)



# Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey( $k$ )

Conclusion



# Outline

Introduction

**Warm-up**

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey( $k$ )

Conclusion



# A simple composition for Ramsey(k)

- ▶ given  $t$  instances  $(G_1, k), \dots, (G_t, k)$
- ▶ we construct  $(G', k')$  with
  - $(G', k')$  YES iff at least one  $(G_i, k)$  is YES
  - $k' \in \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2}k)$
- ▶ thus Ramsey(k) has no  $\mathcal{O}(k^{2-\epsilon})$  kernel unless PH collapses  
[Dell, van Melkebeek 2010 & Hermelin, Wu 2011]



## Improvement version

### Improvement Ramsey( $k$ )

**Input:** A graph  $G$  and an integer  $k$ . Two vertex sets  $I$  and  $K$  of size  $k - 1$  each which induce an independent set and a clique in  $G$ .

**Parameter:**  $k$ .

**Question:** Does  $G$  contain an independent set or a clique of size at least  $k$ ?

We will simply continue to call it Ramsey( $k$ ). It is straightforward to reduce between the two versions.





# The construction

- ▶ w.l.o.g.  $t = \ell^2$
- ▶ group the  $t$  instances into  $\ell$  groups of size  $\ell$  each
- ▶ let  $G'$  contain copies of  $G_1, \dots, G_t$
- ▶ add all edges between vertices of  $G_i$  and  $G_j$  in  $G'$  if they are in the same group
- ▶ let  $k' = \ell(k - 1) + 1$  thus  $k' \in \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2}k)$

**note:** adjacency between the graphs  $G_1, \dots, G_t$  can be described by a **host graph**  $H$ : a disjoint union of  $\ell$  cliques of size  $\ell$  each



## Some observations I

- ▶ cliques in  $G'$  can use vertices from only one group, i.e., from at most  $\ell$  graphs
- ▶ independent sets in  $G'$  can use vertices from at most one graph per group, i.e., from at most  $\ell$  graphs
- ▶ thus a clique of size  $\ell(k - 1) + 1$  must contain at least  $k$  vertices from a single  $G_i$
- ▶ ditto for independent sets

thus if  $(G', k')$  is YES then at least one  $(G_i, k)$  is YES



## Some observations II

- ▶ if some  $G_i$  contains a  $k$ -clique, then it can be extended by  $k - 1$  vertices from each other graph in its group in  $G'$
- ▶ we get a clique of size  $k + (\ell - 1)(k - 1) = \ell(k - 1) + 1$
- ▶ similarly for a  $k$ -independent set in some  $G_i$
- ▶ it is crucial here that we have the improvement version

if some  $(G_i, k)$  is YES then  $(G', k')$  is YES

We get a composition with dependence of  $t^{1/2}$  on  $t$ , excluding kernels of size  $\mathcal{O}(k^{2-\epsilon})$ .



## Why did it work...

...and how can we do better?

- ▶ in the host graph  $H$  (recall: disj. union of  $\ell$  many  $\ell$ -cliques):
  - there are no cliques or independent sets of size  $\ell + 1$
  - each vertex is in a clique and an independent set of size  $\ell$
- ▶  $\ell \in \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2})$
- ▶ thus arranging and connecting the  $t$  instances according to  $H$  we get a composition with  $\mathcal{O}(t^{1/2})$  dependence on  $t$

To exclude polynomial kernels we need  $\ell \in t^{o(1)}$ . Unfortunately no deterministic constructions of such graphs are known. (There is work on Ramsey graphs, but they don't include the covering property.)



# Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

**Co-nondeterministic composition**

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey( $k$ )

Conclusion



# Co-nondeterministic composition

Let  $Q \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$ .

**coNP-composition for  $Q$ :** co-nondeterministic algorithm  $C$

**input:**  $t$  instances  $(x_1, k), \dots, (x_t, k) \in \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$

**time:** polynomial in  $\sum_{i=1}^t |x_i|$

**output:** on each computation path an instance  $(y, k')$   
with  $k' \leq t^{o(1)} \text{poly}(k)$  such that:

1. if at least one  $(x_i, k)$  is YES then each computation path ends with the output of a YES-instance  $(y, k')$
2. if all  $(x_i, k)$  are NO then at least one computation path ends with the output of a NO-instance

**new:** co-nondeterminism,  $t^{o(1)}$  dependence on  $t$



# Consequence of a coNP-composition

**Theorem:** If  $Q \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$  has a coNP-composition then it admits no polynomial kernelization unless  $NP \subseteq \text{coNP}/\text{poly}$ .

**Proof:** This follows straightforwardly from the Complementary Witness Lemma [Dell & van Melkebeek 2010].

**key:** coNP-kernelization & coNP-composition give oracle communication protocol with co-nondeterministic first player



# Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey( $k$ )

Conclusion





# We need better host graphs

- ▶ we need a host graph  $H$  on  $t$  vertices and  $\ell \in t^{o(1)}$  such that:
  - $H$  contains no independent set and no clique of size  $> \ell$
  - each vertex of  $H$  is contained in an independent set and a clique both of size  $\ell$
- ▶ combining  $t$  instances according to  $H$  will then give a composition
- ▶ we will use co-nondeterminism to find such graphs

**note:**  $\alpha(H) = \ell$  cannot be verified, so we will have to cope with graphs  $H$  not fulfilling all properties



# Making our lives a bit easier

- ▶ it suffices if each vertex of  $H$  is in a clique **or** an independent set of size  $\ell$
- ▶ by a simple transformation  $G_i \mapsto G'_i$  we get

$G_i$  has a  $k$ -clique **or** a  $k$ -independent set  
 $\Leftrightarrow G'_i$  has a  $2k - 1$ -clique **and** a  $2k - 1$ -independent set

- ▶ it can be seen that embedding graphs  $G'_i$  in the relaxed host graph suffices



## Ramsey numbers have useful gaps

**Lemma:** For every integer  $t > 3$  there is an integer  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 8 \log t\}$  such that  $R(\ell + 1) > R(\ell) + t$ .

**Proof (sketch):** If no integer  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 8 \log t\}$  works, then  $R(8 \log t)$  would be smaller than known lower bounds.

**Thanks** to Pascal Schweitzer for the lemma and advice regarding Ramsey numbers.



## Finding a host graph

let an integer  $t$  be given

- ▶ guess smallest  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 8 \log t\}$  with  $R(\ell + 1) > R(\ell) + t$
- ▶ guess  $T$  such that  $T = R(\ell) + t$

there is a graph on  $T$  vertices which has no clique or independent set greater than  $\ell$

- ▶ guess a graph  $H$  on  $T$  vertices

**next:** covering at least  $t$  vertices of  $H$  by independent sets and cliques



## Partially covering $H$

assume that we have a graph  $H$  with  $R(\ell) + t$  vertices

- ▶ among any  $R(\ell)$  vertices of  $H$  there must be an independent set or a clique of size  $\ell$
- ▶ thus there must be a set of (at most  $t$ ) cliques and independent sets that covers at least  $t$  vertices of  $H$
- ▶ such a cover can be guessed and verified; on a failure return YES
- ▶ let  $H'$  be a subgraph of  $H$  on at least  $t$  vertices, such that all vertices of  $H'$  are covered
- ▶ use  $H'$  as a host graph and return the obtained instance  $(G', k')$



## Wrap-Up / Proof sketch

given  $t$  instances  $(G_1, k), \dots, (G_t, k)$  of (improvement) Ramsey( $k$ )

- ▶ transform to simpler instances  $(G'_1, 2k - 1), \dots, (G'_t, 2k - 1)$  for which relaxed host graph suffices
- ▶ co-nondeterministically search for a host graph  $H'$
- ▶ each computation path returns YES or an instance  $(G', k')$
- ▶ in the latter case the used host graph  $H'$  is always covered
- ▶ there is at least one c-path where  $H'$  has no clique or independent set of size  $> \ell \in \mathcal{O}(\log t)$

from these facts, we easily get the following:

**Theorem:** Ramsey( $k$ ) has a coNP-composition and hence does not admit a polynomial kernel unless  $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP}/\text{poly}$ .



# Outline

Introduction

Warm-up

Co-nondeterministic composition

Excluding polynomial kernels for Ramsey( $k$ )

Conclusion



# Conclusion

- ▶ Ramsey(k) does not admit a polynomial kernel unless  $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP}/\text{poly}$
- ▶ Ramsey numbers are the key to both FPT and kernel lower bound for Ramsey(k)
- ▶ co-nondeterministic compositions may help for other problems with open existence of polynomial kernels
- ▶ is there more to be gained from the  $t^{o(1)}$  dependence on  $t$  or is  $\log t$  all we ever need?





Thank you

