

AngryHEX: An Angry Birds-playing Agent based on HEX-Programs

Francesco Calimeri Michael Fink Stefano Germano Giovambattista Ianni Christoph Redl Anton Wimmer

1. Motivation

- ▶ **Angry Birds** (<http://www.angrybirds.com>) is a strategic arcade video game where the player uses a slingshot to shoot a limited number of **birds** at constructions aiming to destroy all **pigs** in the field



- ▶ **Goal:** Construct a **declarative agent** which plays the game
- ▶ **Challenge:** **Plan optimal shots** under consideration of physics
- ▶ **Our means:** **HEX-programs**, i.e., **Answer Set Programming (ASP)** with external sources and other extensions

2. Architecture of our Agent

- ▶ We use the provided framework (**browser plugin**, **vision module** etc.)
- ▶ Actual reasoning is done in a **HEX-program** (**logic program**) to compute the desired target given the scene information from the vision module
- ▶ **Agent** extracts the target from the **models** of a **HEX-program**

It builds on **tactic** and **strategy**:

- ▶ The **tactic** is realized declaratively as a HEX-program that aims at completing one level, i.e., computing optimal shots
- ▶ The **strategy** decides which level to play next
 1. it first tries to play each level once
 2. it plays levels where the agent has maximum difference to the best scores
 3. it plays levels where the agent performs better than the best scores but has minimum difference to the best scores

3. HEX-Programs

- ▶ HEX-programs extend ASP by **external sources**
- ▶ Rule bodies may contain **external atoms** $\wp[q_1, \dots, q_k](t_1, \dots, t_l)$,
 p ... external predicate name
 q_i ... predicate names or constants: $\tau(\wp, i) \in \{\text{pred, const}\}$
 t_j ... terms
 Semantics:
 $1 + k + l$ -ary Boolean **oracle function** f_{\wp} :
 $\wp[q_1, \dots, q_k](t_1, \dots, t_l)$ is true under assignment A
 iff $f_{\wp}(A, q_1, \dots, q_k, t_1, \dots, t_l) = 1$.

Example

This program computes the set of all postfixes of string **angry**, where $\&tail[x](y) = 1$ iff y is x after dropping the first character:

$$\Pi = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} r_1: \text{string}(\text{angry}). & \\ r_2: \text{postfix}(X) & \leftarrow \text{string}(X). \\ r_3: \text{postfix}(Y) & \leftarrow \text{postfix}(X), \&tail[X](Y). \end{array} \right\}$$

4. HEX-Encoding for Angry Birds Tactic

We use a HEX-program to compute the desired target:

- ▶ **Input:** Scene information is encoded as **facts** (positions, size and rotation angles of pigs, ice, wood and stone blocks, slingshot etc.; extracted by the vision module of the agent)
- ▶ **Output:** Models of the HEX-program contain a **dedicated atom encoding the desired target**
- ▶ Physics simulation results can be accessed by the HEX-program via **external atoms**, e.g.,
 - ▶ decide if object B falls if A falls
 - ▶ decide which objects intersect with the trajectory of a bird after hitting a given object
 - ▶ compute distances between objects
 - ▶ etc.

Tactic implemented by the HEX-program:

- ▶ Consider each shootable **target** (objects which have a direct and unobstructed path from the slingshot)
- ▶ Compute the **estimated damage** on each other object (discrete values) if the given target is hit (e.g. because it intersects with the trajectory of the bird, or because it rests on the target), taking different bird types into account
- ▶ **Rank the targets (=answer sets) using weak constraints:** we add malus points for each pig, where the number of added malus points decreases with increasing likelihood that the pig is destroyed

5. Preliminary Benchmark Results

Level	ABC-AI	ABC-IS	HEX	Avg Adv
level 1	27550	30490	32090	3070
level 2	52420	34600	53460	9950
level 3	33460	41070	42370	5105
level 4	18690	27990	27970	4630
level 5	36280	62780	63300	13770
level 6	17870	17500	34810	17125
level 7	22510	20560	45710	24175
level 8	47400	40440	38730	-5190
level 9	35600	42500	43160	4110
level 10	41530	43970	55660	12910
Sum	333310	361900	437260	89655

ABC-AI and ABC-IS are our last year's contestants based on plain ASP and a procedural implementation, respectively

(The scores of our agent are as by July 30, 2013 and may be different/higher at the competition)

6. Outlook

Possible improvements:

- ▶ **Combine objects** which behave like a single one
- ▶ Plan over **multiple shots**
- ▶ Realize **strategies** declaratively (currently implemented in Java)

7. References

- ▶ Angry Birds (2013). Angry birds ai competition benchmarks <http://aibirds.org/benchmarks.html>.
- ▶ Eiter, T., Ianni, G., Schindlauer, R., and Tompits, H. (2006). Effective Integration of Declarative Rules with External Evaluations for Semantic-Web Reasoning. In *3rd European Semantic Web Conference (ESWC'06)*, volume 4011 of *LNCS*, pages 273–287. Springer.