

Foundations of Databases

Recursion in Relational Algebra and Calculus

Free University of Bozen – Bolzano, 2004–2005

Thomas Eiter

Institut für Informationssysteme

Arbeitsbereich Wissensbasierte Systeme (184/3)

Technische Universität Wien

`http://www.kr.tuwien.ac.at/staff/eiter`

(Some slides by Wolfgang Faber)

Adding Recursion to Relational Algebra and Calculus

- Datalog can be seen as an extension of conjunctive queries with disjunction and recursion
- Logically, datalog thus offers \wedge , \vee , \exists , and recursion (but no \neg)
- Issue: Extend Relational Algebra resp. Relational Calculus with recursion
- Relational Algebra: variable assignments and looping construct
- Relational Calculus: recursion by fixpoint operators

Recursion in Relational Algebra

- **Problem:** Relational Algebra has only unnamed results (expressions).
- **Solution:** Introduce relation variables R , which may be assigned (the value of) expressions $Expr$, which have the same sort (resp. arity):

$$R := Expr$$

- The variable R may occur in $Expr$ itself:

$$T := R \cup (\pi_{1,4} (\sigma_{2=3} (R \times T)))$$

- Add imperative control structures (sequence, loop)

The While language

The While language extends relational algebra

- A While program is a finite sequence of assignments and while statements.
- A While statement has the form

while change do

begin

<loop body>

end

where *<loop body>* is recursively a While program, and nesting of loops is finite

Semantics of While

A While program P is evaluated on a database instance \mathbf{I} from $inst(\mathbf{R})$ as follows:

- Each relation $R \in \mathbf{R}$ is initialized to $\mathbf{I}(R)$.
- Each relation $S \notin \mathbf{R}$ is initialized to \emptyset .
- Process the statements in sequential order.
- For an assignment $R := Expr$, the result of evaluating $Expr$ on the current relation values is assigned to R
- The body of a While statement is executed as long as some relation value changes
- The result of the computation, $P(I)$, is the final result assigned to a designated output (query) relation, if the computation terminated (otherwise, undefined)

Example

A While program for the transitive closure of a graph G : $From$, To :

$T := G$;

while change do

begin

$T := T \cup \pi_{From, To}(\rho_{A \leftarrow To}(G) \bowtie \rho_{A \leftarrow From}(G))$

end

- The program terminates for each (finite) input \mathbf{I}
- T contains the transitive closure of graph encoded by \mathbf{I}

- **Problem:** Program P might not terminate
- Example ($G: From, To$):

$$D := \rho_{A \leftarrow From}(\pi_{From}(G)) \cup \rho_{A \leftarrow To}(\pi_{To}(G));$$

while change do

begin

$$G := (\rho_{From \leftarrow A}(D) \times \rho_{From \leftarrow A}(D)) \setminus G;$$

end

- **Theorem.** Whether a given While program P terminates on every \mathbf{I} is undecidable
- Note: Whether P terminates on a given \mathbf{I} is decidable (exact complexity later)

While⁺ Programs

- Avoid termination problem by change in the semantics: Assignments are “inflationary”

$$R+ = Expr$$

add the value of $Expr$ to R

- The resulting language is called While⁺
 - **Proposition.** For each input database \mathbf{I} , $P(I)$ is well-defined
 - Variants of While, While⁺: instead of “*while change do*”:
 - “*while Expr $\neq \emptyset$ do*” in While
 - “*while Expr₁ \neq Expr₂ do*” in While⁺
- do permit the same expressiveness.

Recursion in Relational Calculus

- First Way: Assignments and loops as in Relational Algebra
- Proviso here: Active domain semantics for relational calculus
- More logic-oriented construct: Fixpoint-Operator
- Example: Transitive closure of graph G

$$\varphi(T) = G(x, y) \vee T(x, y) \vee \exists z(T(x, z) \wedge G(z, y))$$

Free variables: x, y ; T is a relational variable

Define the value of T , given a valuation of G , as the limit of the sequence

$$\{J_i\}_{i \geq 0}$$

$$J_0 := \emptyset,$$

$$J_i := \varphi(J_{i-1}), \quad i > 0.$$

- For each input G , the limit exists and equals J_k , for some $k \geq 0$
- J_k is a *fixpoint* of the operator defined by $\varphi(\cdot)$ on the valuations of T on the active domain (wrt. G)
- This fixpoint is denoted by $\mu_T(\varphi(T))$
- The variable T and the variables x, y are bound to μ_T
- In general, $\mu_T(\varphi)$ may not be defined:

$$\varphi(T) = (x = 0 \wedge \neg T(0) \wedge \neg T(1)) \vee (x = 0 \wedge T(1)) \vee (x = 1 \wedge T(0))$$

Partial Fixpoint Operator

- Let \mathbf{R} be a database schema, let T be a fresh n -ary relation, and let \mathbf{S} be the schema $\mathbf{R} \cup \{T\}$.
- Let $\varphi(T)$ be a formula using T and relations in \mathbf{R} , with n free variables.
- Given $\mathbf{I} \in inst(\mathbf{R})$, $\mu_T(\varphi(T))$ denotes the limit of the sequence $\{J_i\}_{i \geq 0}$, if it exists,

$$J_0 := \emptyset,$$

$$J_i := \varphi(J_{i-1}), \quad i > 0.$$

where $\varphi(J_{i-1})$ denotes the result of evaluating φ on the database instance

$\mathbf{J}_{i-1} \in inst(\mathbf{S})$ such that

- $\mathbf{J}_{i-1}(R) = \mathbf{I}(R)$ for each $R \in \mathbf{R}$, and
- $\mathbf{J}_{i-1}(T) = J_{i-1}$.

Partial Fixpoint Logic

- $\mu_T(\varphi)$ denotes a new n -ary relation (if defined), which can be used in more complex formulas.
- Examples: Let $\varphi(T) = G(x, y) \vee T(x, y) \vee \exists z(T(x, z) \wedge G(z, y))$
 $\mu_T(\varphi(T))(a, x), \quad \neg\mu_T(\varphi(T))(x, y)$
- Partial fixpoint logic, $\text{CALC}+\mu$, is the extension of Relational Calculus with μ
- Formulas are built from atoms by applying the RC operators ($\wedge, \vee, \exists, \neg$) and the μ operator.
- If $\varphi(T)$ has n free variables, T has arity n , and e_1, \dots, e_n are variables or constants, then $\mu_T(\varphi(T))(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is a formula
- Note: Nestings of μ_T are possible.

Partial Fixpoint Queries

- $\text{CALC}+\mu$ queries (aka *partial fixpoint queries*) are expressions Q of the form

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_n \mid \varphi\}$$

where the free variables x_1, \dots, x_m of φ are the variables occurring in the list of constants and variables e_1, \dots, e_n .

- The query result of Q in input \mathbf{I} , denoted $Q(\mathbf{I})$, is undefined, whenever the evaluation of μ in a subformula of φ is undefined; otherwise, it is the set of all valuations ν for e_1, \dots, e_n such that $\varphi(\nu(x_1), \dots, \nu(x_m))$ is defined and true (wrt. \mathbf{I}).

Examples

$$\varphi(T) = G(x, y) \vee T(x, y) \vee \exists z(T(x, z) \wedge G(z, y))$$

- all nodes reachable from a :

$$\{x : \mu_T(\varphi(T))(a, x)\}$$

- Complement of transitive closure

$$\{x, y : \neg\mu_T(\varphi(T))(x, y)\}$$

- Nodes that do not lie on a directed cycle:

$$\{x : \exists y(G(x, y) \vee G(y, x)) \wedge \neg\mu_T(\varphi(T))(x, x)\}$$

Inflationary Fixpoint Queries

- Problem similar as with While queries: Undefineness
- Similar remedy: compute fixpoints in inflationary manner

Replace in definition of $\mu_T(\varphi(T))$

$$J_i := \varphi(J_{i-1}), \quad i > 0.$$

by

$$J_i := J_{i-1} \cup \varphi(J_{i-1}), \quad i > 0.$$

Equivalently, replace $\varphi(T)$ by $T(\vec{x}) \vee \varphi(T)$, where \vec{x} are the free variables of $\varphi(T)$.

- The resulting operator is denoted $\mu_T^+(\varphi(T))$.
- The emerging set of queries are the $\text{CALC}_+\mu^+$ queries or (*inflationary fixpoint queries*, aka *fixpoint queries*)

Fixpoint logic: Examples

- Transitive closure query:

$$\{x, y \mid \mu_T^+(G(x, y) \vee \exists z(T(x, z) \wedge G(z, y)))(x, y)\}$$

Note: “ $T(x, y)$ ” is implicitly added by the semantics.

- Same-Generation query ($\mathbf{R} = \{Par, Person\}$):

$$\{x, y \mid \mu_T^+(((Person(x) \wedge x = y) \vee \\ \exists u, v(Par(x, u) \wedge T(u, v) \wedge Par(y, v))))(x, y)\}$$

While⁽⁺⁾ vs CALC+ μ ⁽⁺⁾

Theorem. Suppose that in Relational Algebra expressions special constant relations $R_a := \{\langle a \rangle\}$, for each $a \in \mathbf{dom}$, may be used. Then,

1. $\text{While}^+ = \text{CALC}+\mu^+$
 2. $\text{While} = \text{CALC}+\mu$
- This can be shown by structural simulations: encode $\text{While}^{(+)}$ programs in $\text{CALC}+\mu^{(+)}$ (using active domain semantics)
 - Vice versa, evaluate $\text{CALC}+\mu^{(+)}$ expressions with $\text{While}^{(+)}$ programs
 - Relation constants R_a are needed to produce constant query output
Example: $\text{CALC}+\mu^+$ query $\{x \mid x = a\}$.

Normal Forms

- Nested recursion in $\text{CALC}+\mu^{(+)}$ resp. in $\text{While}^{(+)}$ does not add expressivity
- Each $\text{CALC}+\mu^{(+)}$ query is equivalent to a query of the form

$$\{\vec{x} \mid \mu_T^{(+)}(\varphi(T))(\vec{t})\}$$

where $\varphi(T)$ contains no $\mu^{(+)}$

- In fact, $\varphi(T)$ can be an *existential* formula
- Analogous normal forms hold for $\text{While}^{(+)}$ programs
- Proof: via equivalence to extensions of datalog with negation
- Open Issue: $\text{CALC}+\mu = \text{CALC}-\mu^+$

Recursion in SQL

- **Problem:** Same as in Relational Algebra.
- **Solution:** Name the resulting relation and allow to use it in its definition!

Construct: **WITH**

```
WITH RECURSIVE T(X,Y) AS (  
    SELECT R.X, R.Y  
    FROM R  
    UNION  
    SELECT R.X, T.Y  
    FROM R, T  
    WHERE R.Y = T.X  
) Query
```

- **Semantics:** Also here a fixpoint.

Indirect Recursion in SQL-3

```
WITH RECURSIVE
  EVEN (N) AS
    (VALUES (0) UNION SELECT M+1 FROM ODD),
  ODD (M) AS
    (SELECT N+1 FROM EVEN)
SELECT * FROM EVEN WHERE N < 10
```

Non-linear Recursion in SQL

```
WITH RECURSIVE
  DESCENDANT (N, V) AS (
    SELECT K, E FROM CHILD
  UNION
    SELECT N1.N, N2.V
      FROM DESCENDANT AS N1, DESCENDANT AS N2
      WHERE N1.V = N2.N)
SELECT N FROM DESCENDANT WHERE V = 'Adam'
```

Explicitly forbidden in SQL-3, will perhaps be allowed in SQL-4.

Bibliography

- [1] S. Abiteboul, R. Hull, and V. Vianu. *Foundations of Databases*. Addison-Wesley, 1995.
- [2] H. Garcia-Molina, J. D. Ullman, and J. Widom. *Database Systems – The Complete Book*. Prentice Hall, 2002.